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# Purpose

The main objective of this process is the production of an adequate guidance to the project team providing a central project plan.

# Inputs and Outputs

# Inputs

The Vision and Scope Document.

# Outputs

A Software Development Plan with centralized information about the project.

A Quality Plan.

An Earned Value chart.

# Activities

Define a project plan:

* Define a project scope statement;
* Define the project life cycle;
* Define milestones;
  + A milestone should **mark the completion** of a group of tasks (it’s not an action) and has no duration. It should be identified along with its date and description of what should be completed by that date.
* Identify the main deliverables;
* Create a top level work breakdown structure;
* Identify available resources;
* Estimate the effort for each task in the Work Breakdown Structure. Planning Poker must be used:
  + A moderator is identified randomly. The moderator also participates in the estimation;
  + Each team member has the following cards: 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 20(unit is effort hours);
  + The moderator reads the description of the task;
  + Each estimator selects an estimate card and places it face down on the table. The cards are flipped over when all estimates are in;
  + If the estimates vary widely, the owners of the high and low estimates discuss the reasons for diverging. All estimators should participate in the discussion. The discussion mustn’t exceed 3 minutes;
* Allocate resource to each task;
* Create a Gantt diagram for the project schedule;
* Define how the project is going to be controlled:
  + Prepare an Earned Value graph;
  + Define a critical deviation value to control project progress;
* Define a quality plan:
  + Identify the main quality objectives;
  + Define how those objectives will be assured;
  + Define coding standards.
  + Plan reviews.
  + Define how unit testing will be done.
* Identify supporting processes/plans;

# Tools

Microsoft Project will be used to create a Gantt Diagram.

Planning Poker estimation will be made using a deck of cards.

# Related Processes

Review Process.

Project Assessment and Control Process.

# Measures

The measures to take into account are:

* Deviation from the defined scope;
  + The number of completed requirements will be counted and deviation will be the requirements that aren’t completed.
* Deviation relative to the planned effort.
  + The deviation will be the difference between the planned effort and the actual effort.
* The number of delayed tasks.

These measures aren’t meant to be updated in a regular basis but to be analyzed in the end of the project.